

			AGENDA / MINUTES	
Meeting: Outcomes Advisory Council			Co-Leaders: Justin Hoshaw & Evan Thomas	
Date: February 15, 2022			AS: Spencer Brayton, Michelle Lindquist & Melissa Morgan	
Time: 2:30pm – 4:00pm			BTWE: Justin Kline	
Zoom: https://waubonsee.zoom.us/j/8099211706			HPPS: Drea Brus, Tracy Limbrunner, Marjie Schoolfield & Michael Moran	
			LAS: Sharon Garcia	
Spring 2022 Meeting Date	s		LAS/CHA: Jon Nichols & Sarah Quirk	
2-1-22 3-22-22			LAS/M&S: Steve Kifowit & Lorrie Stahl	
<mark>2-15-22</mark> 4-5-22			LAS/SSEWL: Elior Iseli & Heather LaCost	
3-1-22 4-19-22			FD: Sara Gregory & Hoitung Leung	
			Co-Curricular: Julie Bechtold & Scott Peska	
			Office of Learning Outcomes, Curriculum and Development: I	Kathleen Gorski
			Absent	
PARKING LOT:				
Agenda Items	Facilitator	Time Allotted	Items / Findings / Conclusions	Follow-Up Who / When
Norming session for LI	Justin	20 min	Justin and Kathleen gave instructions on how to review	
Plan/Report			reports.	
Breakout groups for additional LI Plan/Report	Core	20 min	Everyone met in breakout rooms to review reports.	
Return for whole group discussion	Everyone	20 min	Faculty shared experiences and what they learned.	We will work more on the
			With their review, Kathleen and Michelle found that the	reports at our
			summary of results was detailed and well articulate.	next meeting.
			However, they noted that the target was not met and the	
			action plans indicated changes wouldn't be made for five	
			years. The council discussed suggestions that could be	



			provided on the LI report to share the importance of making updates to curriculum when targets are not met. We do not want to wait for five years to review a course that is not meeting outcomes for student learning.	
			Steve and Drea felt shared that that there were too many ILOs selected in their report review.	
			Heather asked if it is okay to say, "That's not a good ILO for this course."	
ILO Distribution discussion	Evan	5-10 min	Evan briefly reported on the data from the ILO audit and noted that critical thinking was selected for most courses while global awareness was selected for very few courses.	ILO assessment is a goal for the council this
			One idea was to review AA and AS ILO distribution of ILOs. Should certain GE requirements focus on one ILO? Example: Science assess Quantitative Literacy.	year and discussions will continue at future meetings.